

10/1/19

fringe accuser,
or

Encountering Instantiations of the Unknown

Reading, now, some 2012 article on quantum algorithms, a matter, naturally, rife with terms and notions that, in as far as they ever have a presence in my accuser-array, are largely vacuum in their signification capacity? I just encountered "perturbation theory," which prompted this exploration. The accuser-array, if reconceptualized (?) as a heap, with the most familiar matter standing deepest in the center and the least familiar matter stretching ~~thickly~~ thinly at the fringe, is in a constant state of deepening and expanding. I should note, however, an acc tendency to forget: an episto-phenomenon (?) that can be framed as a decrease gr deflation, but a deflation that slows, if not, halts, at a certain degree of decrease. That is to say, the deflation cannot thin/flatten the area-in-question completely, seeing as the matter cannot disappear from the accuser without a trace.

(Perhaps the deflation only occurs in terms of conscious deterioration of knowledge (which might be what "forgetting" semantically necessitates), rather than a total deterioration, seeing as the matter may be stored as a back-up, so to speak, in the unconscious)

10/3/19

Having just read over the flip side, I wonder
how the purpose of such episto-phenomenology
(?) can be explicated. Is it merely (!!!)
a matter of epistemic optimization? Would
this optimization be exponential or arithmetic,
if it can even be framed on a graph at
all? How can such a prefiguring account
for reflexive "paradigm shifts?" shifts that
may reconfigure the subjects understanding
of their own epistemic development?
Is this perpetual reflexive tension the
subjective measure of epistemic exponentiation?